

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,265	11/12/2003	Sam Hwang	224738	5821	
45733	45733 7590 06/08/2006			EXAMINER	
•	OIT & MAYER, LTD.	HARLE, JENNIFER I			
	ENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE STETSON AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780		1654		
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/200	DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/706,265	HWANG, SAM	
		Art Unit]
	Cecilia Tsang	1625	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	view filed
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run fitthe mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	rom the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from otice of Appeal has been received.
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 36-39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rerapplicant at this time.	held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of mains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office applicant at this time.
All participants: Cecilia J. Tsang Supervision attent Examiner	
(1) Cecilia Tsang. Technology Center 1600	(3) <u>Anish Gupta</u> .
(2) <u>Christopher Low</u> .	(4) .